Mechanism of Lithium Diisopropylamide-Mediated Ortholithiation of 1,4-Bis(trifluoromethyl)benzene under Nonequilibrium Conditions: Condition-Dependent Rate Limitation and Lithium Chloride-Catalyzed Inhibition

Jun Liang, Alexander C. Hoepker, Russell F. Algera, Yun Ma, and David B. Collum*

Baker Laboratory, Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853-1301, United States

ABSTRACT: Lithiation of 1,4-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzene with lithium diisopropylamide in tetrahydrofuran at −78 °C occurs under conditions at which the rates of aggregate exchanges are comparable to the rates of metalation. Under such nonequilibrium conditions, a substantial number of barriers compete to be rate limiting, making the reaction sensitive to trace impurities (LiCl), reactant concentrations, and isotopic substitution. Rate studies using the perdeuterated arene reveal odd effects of LiCl, including catalyzed rate acceleration at lower temperature and catalyzed rate inhibition at higher temperatures. The catalytic effects are accompanied by corresponding changes in the rate law. A kinetic model is presented that captures the critical features of the LiCl catalysis, focusing on the influence of LiCl-catalyzed re-aggregation of the fleeting monomer that can reside above, at, or below the equilibrium population without catalyst.

INTRODUCTION

Decades of studying lithium diisopropylamide (LDA)-mediated metalations have revealed that the large, rapidly equilibrating ensemble of transiently accessible aggregation and solvation states quite rationally leads to an equally diverse array of mechanisms.1 The dominant pathways depend on substrate, solvent, temperature, and reagent concentrations. The rates are dictated by the barrier height of the proton transfer for each substrate–solvent combination.2

We only recently began studying metalations carried out using LDA/tetrahydrofuran (THF)/−78 °C—one of the most commonly chosen reagent, solvent, and temperature combinations in all of organic synthesis.2,3 Although a fear of poor temperature control proved misplaced, a far more challenging problem lurked beneath the surface. We discovered that, under these conditions, the activation barriers for the large number of aggregate and solvent exchanges are remarkably similar and comparable to those for lithiation of the substrates, leading to a chaotic mechanistic scenario.3 Reaction coordinates are often dictated by the barriers of aggregate exchanges rather than the barriers in the metatation step. The resulting paradoxical behaviors include dependencies of rate on the choice of substrate but not necessarily on substrate concentration. Simple deuteration to measure a kinetic isotope effect can cause profound changes in the mechanism and accompanying rate law.2,5 Autocatalysis and catalysis by trace impurities—parts per million of LiCl—are rampant owing to accelerated deaggregation steps. The source of the LDA (commercial versus n-BuLi-derived) can be the single most important variable, imparting up to 100-fold differences in rates.

We continued these studies by examining the ortholithiation of 1,4-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzene (1, eq 1), in which rate-limiting dimer- and tetramer-based aggregation events dominate. This metalation is an outlier in the series in that autocatalysis by aryllithium 2 is not important. The most striking observation is that traces of LiCl can accelerate or inhibit the metalation. The seemingly paradoxical notion of catalyzed inhibition is a consequence of nonequilibrium kinetics.6 Although this article ostensibly describes the study of an ortholithiation,7 it is primarily about using ortholithiation as a tool to investigate the underlying dynamics of LDA aggregate and solvent exchanges under nonequilibrium conditions.8 Nonspecialist readers will find a synopsis at the outset of the Discussion section.

RESULTS

The results are presented sequentially in three categories: structural studies that are foundational for understanding the metalation, rate studies of uncatalyzed metalations, and rate studies of catalyzed metalations. The markedly different metalations of arene 1 and its perdeuterated analogue demanded complete rate studies for each; these studies are discussed within their own subsections. To facilitate the
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presentation, we introduce the following shorthand: A = an LDA subunit, S = THF, ArH = arene 1, ArD = 1-d6, and ArLi = aryllithium 2 or its perdeuterated analogue 2-d6. Large numbers of graphical outputs have been relegated to Supporting Information.

Solution Structures. Studies of [6Li,15N]LDA using 6Li and 15N NMR spectroscopies have revealed exclusively disolvated dimer 3 in THF and THF/hydrocarbon mixtures. The resulting aryllithium 2 is characterized as trisolvated monomer 2a as follows.

\[
\text{THF}  \quad \text{i-Pr} \quad \text{Li} \quad \text{CF}_3  \\
\text{i-Pr} \quad \text{Li} \quad \text{CF}_3  \\
\text{THF}  \\
\text{2a}  \\
\text{2b} = \frac{\text{[ArLiS}_{\text{eq}}]}{\text{[ArH]}[\text{A}_1\text{S}_2]^{1/2}} = \frac{\text{[ArD]}[\text{A}_1\text{S}_2]}{\text{[ArD]}[\text{A}_1\text{S}_2]}  \\
(3)
\]

Solvation numbers of 2 were determined using two methods: (1) Metalation with added i-PrNH and monitoring with 19F NMR spectroscopy (eq 2) revealed a THF-concentration-dependent equilibrium population of 1 and 2, with the equilibrium shifting to the right at elevated THF concentrations. A least-squares fit to eq 3 afforded a solvation number \((n + 1) = 3.1 \pm 0.3\).

Rate Studies: General Protocol. Lithiations of ArH and ArD using analytically pure LDA\(^-\) were followed by monitoring the loss of arene using in situ IR spectroscopy (1323 cm\(^{-1}\)) or 19F NMR spectroscopy (65.7 ppm). Metalations under most conditions, whether ostensibly pseudo-first-order (low substrate concentration) or not, fail to display first-order decays (Figure 1) owing to partially or completely rate-limiting deaggregations. Accordingly, initial rates were extracted from the first derivative (slope) of a polynomial fit to data within 5% conversion. Reaction orders were obtained by independently varying the concentrations of ArH, LDA, and THF and monitoring the initial rates. ArH and ArD metalations are mechanistically different owing to the retention of zero-point energy differences in rate-limiting transition states involving deaggregations and evan metalations. We have expounded on this difference\(^-\) and return to it briefly in the Discussion. ArH and ArD demand independently determined rate laws.

Uncatalyzed Ortholithiation: ArD. We introduce the detailed rate studies somewhat unconventionally with investigations of the deuterated substrate (ArD) because the results, although limited in scope, are simple compared with those of ArH. The results are interpreted in the context of the mechanism and rate law described by eqs 5–7.\(^-\)

\[
\text{[ArD]/d}[\text{ArD}] = \frac{k_{12}[\text{ArD}][\text{A}_1\text{S}_2][\text{S}]}{k_{-1} + k_{5}[\text{ArD}]}  \\
(5)
\]

\[
\frac{\text{d}[\text{ArD}]/d}[\text{ArD}] = \frac{\text{[ArD]}[\text{A}_1\text{S}_2][\text{S}]}{k_{k_1}[\text{ArD}][\text{A}_1\text{S}_2][\text{S}]}  \\
(6)
\]

\[
\text{A}_1\text{S}_2 \xrightarrow{k_{k_1}} [\text{ArD}]  \\
(7)
\]

Plotting initial rates versus ArD concentration revealed saturation kinetics (Figure 2) consistent with a shift from rate-limiting metalation at low ArD concentration (eq 5; \(k_{-1} \gg k_{5}[\text{ArD}]\)) to rate-limiting deaggregation at high ArD concentration (\(k_{-1} \ll k_{5}[\text{ArD}]\)).

Monitoring the initial rates versus the LDA and THF concentrations\(^-\) in the limit of low ArD concentration revealed first-order dependencies in each (Figures 3 and 4). Because the trapping of a fleeting \([A_1S_2]_{\text{int}}\) intermediate is slow at these relatively low ArD concentrations (\(k_{-1} \gg k_{5}[\text{ArD}]\)), the generalized rate law in eq 5 reduces to the simpler form in eq 6. Computational studies showed that the conventional open
dimer 4 is more stable than the surprisingly viable 10-membered analogue 5 (eq 8).20

The zeroth-order dependence on ArD at high ArD concentration stems from the efficient trapping of a fleeting intermediate \( k_1 \ll k_3[ArD] \), precluding its return to starting A₂S₂ dimer.2 Although the domination of a transition structure of stoichiometry \([A₂S₃]ᵢ^*\) on the saturation plateau seems rational, it is presumptuous; previous studies of LDA-mediated metalations under nonequilibrium conditions have revealed that deuteration can markedly change the reaction mechanism and affiliated rate law.2c,e Normally we would determine the rate law at high ArD concentration to confirma the stoichiometry of the rate-limiting aggregation event and complete the story, but the high concentrations of ArD required to effect efficient trapping presented technical challenges.21 Nevertheless, this example provided a brief introduction to nonequilibrium kinetics and the more complex ArH metalations.

Uncatalyzed Ortholithiation: ArH. Metalations of ArH (0.0050 M), ostensibly under pseudo-first-order conditions, deviate from clean exponential decays akin to that shown in Figure 1. Metalations at high LDA and low THF concentrations afford a noisy zeroth-order dependence on arene concentration (Figure 5). By contrast, metalation at low LDA and high THF concentrations display an ArH dependence manifesting clear saturation behavior (Figure 6) consistent with rate-limiting ortholithiation at low ArH concentration and rate-limiting deaggregation at high ArH concentration. The isotope effect measured from two independent metalations of ArH and ArD is large at low arene concentrations \((k_H/k_D = 14)\) while approaching unity at high arene concentrations (consistent with a rate-limiting deaggregation.) The competitive isotope measured by competing ArH and ArD approximates 20 at all arene concentrations.

The saturation showed that we were probing the cusp of a shifting rate-limiting step. Detailed rate studies revealed that dimer- and tetramer-based pathways compete for dominance.
At low LDA concentration, the dimer-based mechanism in eqs 10 and 11 dominates, reducing the rate law in eq 9 to the simpler form in eq 12, which retains the mathematical form corresponding to the saturation kinetics shown in Figure 6. The rate law further reduces to eqs 13 and 14 at low and high ArH concentrations, respectively. The tetramer-based pathway (eqs 15 and 16) shows a zeroth-order ArH dependence (eq 17) owing to the high efficiency of subsequent steps including the proton transfer.

\[
-\frac{d[A_rH]}{dt} = k_1[A_2S_2][S] + k_2[A_2H][S] + k_3[A_2S_2][S] \quad (9)
\]

Dimer-based mechanism:

\[
A_2S_2 + S \xrightleftharpoons{\ k_1\ } [A_2S_3] \quad (10)
\]

\[
[A_2S_3] + S \xrightarrow{\ k_i[A_rH]\ } ArLi \quad (11)
\]

\[
-\frac{d[A_rH]}{dt} = \frac{k_1k_3[A_2S_3][S]^2[A_rH]}{k^{-1} + k_3[A_rH][S]} \quad (12)
\]

\[
-\frac{d[A_rH]}{dt} = (k_1k_3/k_{-1})[A_2S_3][S]^2[A_rH] \quad (13)
\]

Tetramer-based mechanism:

\[
2A_2S_2 + S \xrightleftharpoons{\ k_i\ } [A_2S_3] \quad (15)
\]

\[
[A_2S_3] \xrightarrow{\text{fast}} ArLi \quad (16)
\]

\[
-\frac{d[A_rH]}{dt} = k_3[A_2S_2]^2[S] \quad (17)
\]

Trisolvated dimer-based rate-limiting steps can be attributed to one of several computationally viable transition structures; open dimer 6 is emblematic. The tetrasolvated dimers have occasionally been implicated and attributed to triple ions. Although triple ion computations are of no quantitative value because of their ionic bonds, the cationic and anionic fragments of transition structure 7 are both computationally
viable. The $[A_2S_5]^+$ aggregation event is the most computationally intractable. We have successfully computed tetramer-based aggregation events (including some based on LDA ladder structures\textsuperscript{2e}), but a pentasolvate is elusive. We offer transition structure 8 with a ladder motif\textsuperscript{2e}, a high per-lithium coordination number,\textsuperscript{27} and a bridging THF\textsuperscript{3,28} simply to provoke thought. Such tetraters have been implicated in LDA subunit exchanges\textsuperscript{2e} and their possible intermediacy en route to monomers has been noted.\textsuperscript{2d,e}

**LiCl Catalysis: ArH.** Traces of LiCl elicit marked rate accelerations accompanied by an upwardly curving decay (Figure 9). This dramatic effect has been traced to monomer-based lithiations in previous studies without exception.\textsuperscript{2} Indeed, first-order decays were observed at >1.0 mol % LiCl. Plotting initial rates versus LiCl concentration shows first-order saturation behavior (Figure 10) consistent with shifting the rate-limiting step from LDA deaggregation to ArH-dependent lithiation. Monitoring initial rates at the saturation limit in Figure 10 (1.0 mol % LiCl) revealed a first-order dependence on ArH, half-order dependence on LDA, and second-order THF dependence.\textsuperscript{29} The idealized rate law (eq 18) is consistent with a trisolvated-monomer-based proton transfer described by eqs 19 and 20. Both $[AS_3(ArH)]^+$ and $[AS_4(ArH)]^+$ stoichiometries have been noted in ortholithiations.\textsuperscript{2}

$$-rac{d[ArH]}{dt} = k_{eq}[A_2S_5]^{(2/3)}[S]^2[ArH] \quad (18)$$

$$1/2A_2S_2 + 2S \rightleftharpoons [AS_3]^+ \quad (19)$$

$$[AS_4]^+ + ArH \rightarrow ArLi \quad (20)$$

Computational studies of trisolvated monomer-based metalation afforded 9 and 10 as the two most plausible transition structures (eq 21). Although Li–F contacts are crystallographically well-precedented\textsuperscript{30} and can dominate computa-

**Figure 9.** Ortholithiation of ArH (0.090 M) with LDA (0.10 M) in 12.2 M THF at $-78$ °C monitored using IR spectroscopy (1323 cm$^{-1}$) with injection of 1.0 mol % LiCl.

**Figure 10.** Plot of initial rate vs LiCl concentration for the ortholithiation of ArH (0.050 M) by 0.10 M LDA in 12.2 M THF at $-78$ °C measured with IR spectroscopy. The curve depicts an unweighted least-squares fit to $y = (a[LiCl])/(1 + b[LiCl]) + c \quad [a = (4.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}, b = (1.0 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{4}, c = (1.70) \times 10^{-6}]$.  

**LiCl Catalysis: ArD.** As noted for ArH and other substrates explored to date, traces of LiCl have never failed to accelerate metalations, and the acceleration has invariably been traced to LiCl-catalyzed deaggregation to monomer. LiCl has no effect on the metalation rate of ArD in neat THF at $-78$ °C, however (Figure 11). Of note, the point to the far left in Figure 11 was recorded without added LiCl. Given that the uncatalyzed metalation of ArD occurs via dimer-based metalation as described by eqs 6 and 7, we wondered whether the monomer played any role whatsoever. The answer proved to be definitive and baffling. Rate studies revealed first order in ArD, half order in LDA, and second order in THF, consistent with the ArD...
analogue of the rate law in eq 18 and the generic monomer-based mechanism described by eqs 19 and 20. Despite there being no detectable change in rate, LiCl catalysis diverts a dimer-dominated metalation of ArD to a monomer-dominated metalation.

The plotline takes an odd turn. For reasons that are not germane, we examined the catalysis at −42 °C and found that traces of LiCl inhibit the metalation of ArD (Figure 12). This inhibition is unlike enzyme inhibition: it is catalytic in inhibitor.6 Rate studies in the absence of catalyst showed what appears to be partial saturation in ArD, an intermediate 0.77 order in LDA, and a 1.5 order in THF, implicating contributions from both dimer- and monomer-based mechanisms. At full inhibition (3.0% LiCl), clean first-order dependence on ArD, half-order dependence on LDA, and second-order dependence on THF are consistent with the monomer-based metalation described by eqs 18–20. This finding proved to be important (vide infra).

Given the LiCl-catalyzed inhibition at −42 °C and no change in rate with added LiCl at −78 °C, we wondered whether we would observe acceleration at lower temperatures. Technical challenges made a full rate study difficult, but several spot checks showed that our supposition was correct: metalations at lower temperatures. Technical challenges made a full rate study difficult, but several spot checks showed that our supposition was correct: metalations at lower temperatures. Technical challenges made a full rate study difficult, but several spot checks showed that our supposition was correct: metalations at lower temperatures. Technical challenges made a full rate study difficult, but several spot checks showed that our supposition was correct: metalations at lower temperatures.

**DISCUSSION**

The deaggregation of LDA dimer 3 proceeds through a variety of forms with variable solvation numbers and placements, as illustrated simplistically in Scheme 1. The barriers to aggregate and solvent exchange reside within a very narrow energetic range, resulting in a washboard-like reaction coordinate that weathers mechanistic havoc when the barriers to the reactions with substrates also fall within this range. Metalations of arene (denoted as ArH) by LDA in THF at −78 °C —conditions under which aggregate exchanges occur with half-lives of minutes—produce anomalies similar to those found in several preceding case studies of metalations under such non-equilibrium conditions. Linear decays signifying zeroth-order substrate dependencies and rate-limiting aggregation events are prevalent. In some instances the rate-limiting step—the maximum along the reaction coordinate—may be a solvation event, but we will not belabor this point and simply call it a rate-determining aggregation event. The deaggregation of LDA dimer 3 proceeds through a variety of forms with variable solvation numbers and placements, as illustrated simplistically in Scheme 1. The barriers to aggregate and solvent exchange reside within a very narrow energetic range, resulting in a washboard-like reaction coordinate that weathers mechanistic havoc when the barriers to the reactions with substrates also fall within this range. Metalations of arene (denoted as ArH) by LDA in THF at −78 °C —conditions under which aggregate exchanges occur with half-lives of minutes—produce anomalies similar to those found in several preceding case studies of metalations under such non-equilibrium conditions. Linear decays signifying zeroth-order substrate dependencies and rate-limiting aggregation events are prevalent. In some instances the rate-limiting step—the maximum along the reaction coordinate—may be a solvation event, but we will not belabor this point and simply call it a rate-determining aggregation event.

**Scheme 1**

![Scheme 1](image)
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**Figure 12.** Plot of initial rate vs LiCl concentration for the ortholithiation of ArD (0.0050 M) by 0.30 M LDA in 12.2 M THF at −42 °C measured with 19F NMR spectroscopy. The curve has no particular physical meaning.

![Figure 13](image)

**Figure 13.** Plot of initial rate vs LiCl concentration for the ortholithiation of ArD (0.0050 M) by 0.10 M LDA in 12.2 M THF at −90 °C measured with 19F NMR spectroscopy. The curve depicts an unweighted least-squares fit to \( y = \frac{(a[LiCl])}{(1 + b[LiCl])} + c \) where \( a = (1.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-7} \), \( b = 7 \pm 3 \), \( c = 1.58 \times 10^{-8} \).
Similarly, tetramer-based transition structure 8 also defies DFT computational methods in our hands as congested aggregates often do; previous studies could only place three solvents on a ladder without fracturing the ladder. Ladder 8 derives support from structurally well-characterized lithium amide ladders and well-documented bridging THF ligands. LDA tetramers have been documented during rate studies of LDA-mediated metalations under nonequilibrium conditions and implicated as being central to subunit exchanges within dimeric LDA. Monomer-based chemistry just outside our detection limits at −78 °C (and not shown in Scheme 2) comes into view at elevated temperatures and through LiCl catalysis (vide infra).

**Reaction Coordinate Diagram.** Readers who have not followed the papers in the series may find the notion that ArH and ArD proceed via different mechanisms is counterintuitive, maybe even disconcerting. Scheme 3 illustrates how isotopically sensitive changes in mechanism can occur. To clarify an important point, we refer to Scheme 3 as a reaction coordinate diagram rather than a free energy diagram because it connotes relative barrier heights but lacks the implicit balancing and fixed concentrations. As is always true but often overlooked, the
positions of peaks and troughs depend consequentially on concentrations and isotopic substitution. The depiction in Scheme 3 represents a single snapshot of a highly flucntional reaction coordinate. Higher LDA and THF concentrations stabilize intermediates and transition states of higher aggregates and higher solvates, respectively. Of special note, the zero-point energies are retained in the barriers corresponding to aggregation events that precede metalation. The transition states may also retain inherent isotopic sensitivities. A simple (two-body) analysis assumes that the isotopic sensitivities are lost in the transition state as the key stretch becomes the reaction coordinate. Ortholithiations, however, display very large isotope effects in the range of 20–60, forcing us to consider contributions from tunneling. Tuning the isotopic sensitivity relative to the zero-point energy in the ground states. When we consider the roles of close barrier heights, zero-point energies, and tunneling together, we begin to see how changes in concentration and isotopic substitution can cause considerable reordering of the barrier heights if they reside within a narrow energetic window.

**Rate Limitation: Barriers in Parallel versus in Series.**

The rate law for metalation of ArH was suggested to reside within a narrow energetic window. To see how changes in concentration and isotopic substitution ground states. When we consider the roles of close barrier heights, zero-point energies, and tunneling together, we begin to see how changes in concentration and isotopic substitution can cause considerable reordering of the barrier heights if they reside within a narrow energetic window.

**Rate law changed with no discernible change in the rate.**

in Scheme 6. Although Schemes 5 and 6 are distinguishable from the parallel pathways in Scheme 4 in theory, such a distinction might be difficult in the impure world of experimental kinetics. We present this ambiguity more as a point of interest than as a pressing problem. Additional data—a new view with a different substrate—could abruptly resurrect this debate, however.

**Autocatalysis.** The metalation of ArH offers a rare example of an LDA-mediated metalation under nonequilibrium conditions that does not display autocatalysis. In previous studies, pronounced autocatalysis by aryllithiums was initially believed to arise from catalyzed dimer-to-monomer conversion, although a recent study of 1,4-di-fluorobenzene traced weak autocatalysis to a catalyzed LDA dimer-to-dimer conversion. We wondered whether autocatalysis was absent in the metalation of ArH because the metalation is marginally susceptible to such catalysis or because aryllithium 2 is a poor catalyst. The answer turns out to be both. We found that the metalation of the 1,4-di-fluorobenzene studied previously is only marginally catalyzed by aryllithium 2 and the metalation of arene 1 is only marginally catalyzed by ortholithiated 1,4-di-fluorobenzene. These observations serve as a segue to the discussion of some odd catalytic effects of LiCl.

**LiCl Catalysis.** Ortholithiation of ArH in the presence of traces (<2 mol %) of LiCl showed a marked acceleration. Rate studies revealed the LiCl-catalyzed LDA dimer-to-monomer conversion noted for all LDA-mediated metalations in THF at −78 °C studied to date. The solvent order and computations supported transition structure 9 (eq 21). A minor oddity occurred, however. If LiCl is catalyzing the same deaggregation, the order in LiCl should be the same in all cases, but it is not. A first-order dependence on LiCl concentration (Figure 10 and eqs 18–20) was observed rather than the more frequently observed second-order LiCl dependence. We could easily imagine variation from investigator to investigator—these kinetics are technically quite difficult. However, one of the second-order LiCl dependencies and the first-order LiCl dependence noted herein were measured by the same researcher (J.L.). We cannot explain this minor incongruence. Regardless, far more interesting oddities showed up in studies of LiCl-catalyzed ArD metalations.

**Metalation of ArD with LDA in THF at −78 °C in the presence of varying quantities of LiCl showed no change in rate whatsoever (Figure 11).** When the rate law was determined with added LiCl, however, the mechanism had shifted from an [A₂S₃]⁺-based rate-limiting step in the absence of LiCl to [AS₃ArD]⁺-based rate limiting step with added LiCl. The rate law changed with no discernible change in the rate.

The plot thickened when we changed the temperature of the metalation. Uncatalyzed metalations at −42 °C showed mixed orders consistent with a composite of [A₂S₃ArD]⁺ and [AS₃ArD]⁺, suggesting that a monomer-based metalation had...
been lurking just below the surface. The fact that changes in temperature brought it into view is not surprising, and why raising the temperature did so is not really of interest to us. However, rate studies at −42 °C with added LiCl revealed LiCl-catalyzed inhibition (Figure 12) and an accompanying shift in the rate law toward [A2([ArD])]†. On a hunch that the LiCl-independent rates at −78 °C might result from a cancellation or coincidence of factors, we investigated the effect of LiCl at −90 °C and found that, indeed, LiCl accelerates the metalation (Figure 13).

The acceleration by LiCl at the lowest temperatures and deceleration at the highest temperatures is unique and unexpected but not altogether irrational. The principle of detailed balance and the accumulated wisdom of enzyme kineticists suggest that the inhibition of systems at equilibrium requires the stoichiometric binding of the active species. Analogy with photochemical desensitizers and other complex systems, however, shows that nonequilibrium systems can be susceptible to catalyzed inhibition.

The simplified model in Scheme 7 in conjunction with numerical integration captures much of what is needed to explain LiCl-catalyzed inhibition. The differential equations and underlying calculations are not particularly germane to the discussion and are relegated to the Supporting Information.

The rate studies told us that the dimer-based mechanism (A2 → A2* → product) proceeds with rate limitation shared by the dimer-based deaggregation and dimer-based metalation (kcat ≈ kcat([ArD])). Dimer-based metalation affords ArLi and releases an equivalent of monomer A. At equilibrium, the population of A is defined by kcat/kcat, but under nonequilibrium conditions the exchange is slow. If monomer A is trapped efficiently relative to a much slower re-aggregation to dimer (kcat/[ArD] ≫ kcat[A]), each rate-limiting dimer-based metalation affords 2 equiv of ArLi product. Now imagine that we introduce catalytic LiCl to hasten the A2→2A exchange and bring it to equilibrium. Both kcat and kcat implicitly include LiCl, but the LiCl concentrations cancel in kcat/kcat which is necessarily equivalent to kcat/kcat. (We have included [A2LiCl]† transition structure to underscore the nature of this catalysis and symmetrize the scheme, but it is not mathematically germane.)

We discuss below three limiting scenarios describing the influence of catalysis on the steady state concentration of monomer A and, consequently, the rate of metalation (Figure 14). The three behaviors in Figure 14 qualitatively correspond to metalations at −42 °C (Scenario 1), −78 °C (Scenario 2), and −90 °C (Scenario 3). The initial rates are normalized to the same initial rate of the LiCl-free metalation although experimentally they were obviously quite different. Simulations in Figure 14 as well as in Figures 15–17 were generated from the model in Scheme 7 via numerical integration. The numerical debris—numbering and units on axes—has been omitted for clarity but is retained in a more detailed analysis in the Supporting Information.

Scheme 7

![Scheme 7](image)

Figure 14. Plot of initial rate vs [LiCl] showing catalyzed inhibition (Scenario 1), no change in rate (Scenario 2), and catalyzed acceleration (Scenario 3). The curves result from numerical integrations (simulations) of the model in Scheme 7.

Figure 15. Plot of initial rate vs [LDA] concentration reflecting changing LDA dependencies with catalysis within Scenario 1 (inhibition): curve A (black) corresponds to dimer-based metalation without any added LiCl; curve B (red) corresponds to partial inhibition retaining both dimer and monomer reactivity at saturation. Curve C (green) corresponds to full inhibition retaining only dimer-based reactivity at saturation; the curves result from numerical integrations (simulations) of the model in Scheme 7.

Figure 16. Plot of initial rate vs [LDA] for the two circumstances depicted in Figure 14 (Scenario 2). Curve A (black) reflects the LDA order of the uncatalyzed metalation (corresponding to the y intercept in Figure 14). Curve B (red) reflects the fully LiCl catalyzed metalation (high LiCl portion of Scenario 2 in Figure 14). The curves result from numerical integrations (simulations) of the model in Scheme 7.
Establishing the $A_2-2A$ equilibrium through catalysis increases the steady state concentration of $A$ with a consequent increase in the observable rate (Figure 14, Scenario 3). The LDA dependence (Figure 17, curve B) shows acceleration and curvature consistent with a monomer-dominated metalation.

It is satisfying that the simple model in Scheme 7 replicates the experimentally observed LiCl-catalyzed acceleration and inhibition. Catalyzed inhibition was a notion that we would have declared impossible before completing these rate studies, and it is uniquely characteristic of nonequilibrium conditions. The model also qualitatively reflects observed changes in the rate laws affiliated with the catalysis. Despite the qualitative successes, however, quantitative inconsistencies remain. Although changes in the rates and the rate laws are nicely reflected by simulations using the model in Scheme 7, there are discrepancies in the experimentally determined LDA orders with the predicted values from the simulations. Nonetheless, given the simplifications in the model, we find this study to be an excellent proof of principle, and the implications of the model, even in isolation, are provocative.

**CONCLUSION**

This paper is likely to be the last in a series describing metalations using LDA under nonequilibrium conditions, each contributing a single chapter in narrative about LDA structure-reactivity relationships. Understanding how different substrates could be subjected to different rate-limiting deaggregations of widely varying stoichiometries took considerable effort. Variations in the catalytic effects of LiX salts stem from the catalysis of various steps along a complex cascade of fleeting intermediates that are not in fully established equilibria. Each substrate and even isotopologues of a single substrate provide different views of the cascade. The present study underscored some familiar paths and principles yet also ventured into uncharted territory. The LiCl-catalyzed inhibition of the metalation and the mathematical model showing its plausibility through numerical simulation constitute the cornerstone of this work. Residual quantitative discrepancies trouble us, but oddities rearing their ugly heads throughout these studies have often resolved in subsequent case studies. With that said, we may have reached the logical completion of the study of LDA metalations under nonequilibrium conditions, which has been an eye-opening exposure to the role of rate limitation in complex reaction mechanisms. Given the prevalence of LDA-mediated metalations in THF at $-78 \, ^\circ C$, the irony that these same conditions generate such complexity is difficult to overlook. The epilogue will be a review that focuses on what this series of rate studies has taught us about rate limitation.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Reagents and Solvents.** THF, Et$_2$O, and hexane were distilled from blue or purple solutions containing sodium benzophenone ketyl. The hexane contained 1% tetragnol to dissolve the ketyl. Et,N·HCl was recrystallized from THF/2-propanol. Literature procedures were modified to prepare LDA as a LiCl- and ligand-free solid. Solutions of LDA were titrated using a literature method.

**IR Spectroscopic Analyses.** IR spectra were recorded using an in situ IR spectrometer fitted with a 30-bounce, silicon-tipped probe. The spectra were acquired in 16 scans at a gain of 1 and a resolution of 4 cm$^{-1}$. A representative reaction was carried out as follows: The IR probe was inserted through a nylon adapter and O-ring seal into an oven-dried, cylindrical flask fitted with a magnetic stir bar and a T-joint. The T-joint was capped with a septum for injections and a nitrogen line. After evacuation under full vacuum, heating, and flushing,
with nitrogen, the flask was charged with LDA (108 mg, 1.01 mmol) in THF and cooled in a dry ice–acetone bath prepared with fresh acetone. LiCl was added via a THF stock solution prepared from Et$_3$N·HCl and LDA. After recording a background spectrum, we added ArH (0.76 mmol) with stirring. For the most rapid reactions, IR spectra were recorded every 3 s with monitoring of the absorbance at 1323 cm$^{-1}$ over the course of the reaction.

**NMR Spectroscopic Analyses.** All NMR samples were prepared using stock solutions and sealed under partial vacuum. Standard $^6$Li, $^{13}$C, $^{15}$N, and $^{19}$F NMR spectra were recorded on a 500 MHz spectrometer at 73.57, 125.79, 50.66, and 470.35 MHz, respectively. The $^6$Li, $^{13}$C, and $^{15}$N resonances are referenced to 0.30 M $^6$LiCl/MeOH at −90 °C (0.0 ppm), the CH$_2$O resonance of THF at −90 °C (67.57 ppm), and neat Me$_2$NET at −90 °C (25.7 ppm).

2,3,5,6-Tetra/deutero-1,4-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzene (1-d$_4$). A 10.6 M solution of n-BuLi in hexane (4.70 mL, 50.0 mmol) was added via syringe pump to a solution of 1,4-bis(trifluoromethyl)-benzene (7.0 mL, 45.2 mmol) in 150 mL of dry THF at −78 °C under argon over 20 min. The solution was stirred for an additional 25 min. MeOD (2.03 mL, 50.0 mmol) was added via syringe pump over 20 min. The mixture was allowed to stir for 1 h. The process of sequential addition of 1.1 equiv n-BuLi and 1.1 equiv MeOD was repeated five additional times. A final aliquot of MeOD (10 mL, 50 equiv) was added to quench the reaction fully. After the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, the pH was adjusted to 1.0 with 4.0 M aqueous HCl to dissolve all lithium salts. The organic and aqueous layers were separated, and the organic layer was extracted with additional cold 0.20 M HCl to remove excess THF. The extraction was stopped when the total organic volume was approximately 10–15 mL. The organic layer was dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$ and distilled. The product was collected as a colorless liquid (2.26 g, 10.4 mmol) in 23% yield via freeze drying from stock solutions and sealed under partial vacuum. Standard $^6$Li, $^{13}$C, 15N, and $^{19}$F NMR spectra were recorded on a 500 MHz spectrometer at 73.57, 125.79, 50.66, and 470.35 MHz, respectively. The $^6$Li, $^{13}$C, and $^{15}$N resonances are referenced to 0.30 M $^6$LiCl/MeOH at −90 °C (0.0 ppm), the CH$_2$O resonance of THF at −90 °C (67.57 ppm), and neat Me$_2$NET at −90 °C (25.7 ppm).

**Numeric Integrations.** The time-dependent concentration plots were fit to mechanistic models expressed by a set of differential equations. The curve-fitting operation minimizes chi-square in searching for the coefficient values (rate constants). The Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm$^{38}$ was used for the chi-square minimization and is a form of nonlinear, least-squares fitting procedure implements numeric integration based on differential equations, yielding functions describing concentration versus time.