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Kai Yu,† Ping Lu,†,§ Jeffrey J. Jackson,‡,∥ Thuy-Ai D. Nguyen,† Joseph Alvarado,†,⊥ Craig E. Stivala,†,# Yun Ma,‡ Kyle A. Mack,‡ Trevor W. Hayton,☆ David B. Collum,☆,‡ and Armen Zakarian*†

†Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, United States
‡Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Baker Laboratory, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853, United States
§Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Lithium enolates derived from carboxylic acids are ubiquitous intermediates in organic synthesis. Asymmetric transformations with these intermediates, a central goal of organic synthesis, are typically carried out with covalently attached chiral auxiliaries. An alternative approach is to utilize chiral reagents that form discrete, well-defined aggregates with lithium enolates, providing a chiral environment conducive of asymmetric bond formation. These reagents effectively act as noncovalent, or traceless, chiral auxiliaries. Lithium amides are an obvious choice for such reagents as they are known to form mixed aggregates with lithium enolates. We demonstrate here that mixed aggregates can effect highly enantioselective transformations of lithium enolates in several classes of reactions, most notably in transformations forming tetrasubstituted and quaternary carbon centers. Easy recovery of the chiral reagent by aqueous extraction is another practical advantage of this one-step protocol. Crystallographic, spectroscopic, and computational studies of the central reactive aggregate, which provide insight into the origins of selectivity, are also reported.

INTRODUCTION
The stereoselective construction of carbon–carbon bonds is a central goal of organic synthesis, and the generation of stereogenic quaternary carbon centers is especially challenging.1–3 Lithium enolates are ubiquitous reactive intermediates that form the basis of many powerful asymmetric transformations, including these quaternizations. Contemporary methods for the practical stereoselective transformation of lithium enolates derived from carboxylic acids are dominated by the use of covalently bound stereodirecting chiral auxiliaries4,5 and self-regenerating stereocenters.6 Classical methods developed during the early era of asymmetric synthesis have found broad application in both industry and academia on scales spanning 9 orders of magnitude.7,8 For example, oxazolidinone- and ephedrine-based auxiliaries have been used in large-scale stereoselective syntheses of pharmaceutical agents.9–11

Methodologies based on covalent chiral auxiliaries require synthetic steps to attach, remove, and recycle a stereodirecting group, thereby extending the number of operations required for the installation of the requisite stereogenic center. In alkylation of enolates, high geometric selectivity in the formation of E or Z enolates is required to maximize enantioselectivity (Figure 1). Although enolizations of oxazolidinone- and N-alkylephedrine-based auxiliaries are highly stereoselective due to allylic strain,12 this same strain precludes the simple generation of the fully substituted enolates required for the formation of tetrasubstituted sp3 carbon stereocenters.13–15

Noncovalent stereodirecting auxiliaries offer considerable advantages for the enantioselective alkylation of lithium enolates. They are formed in situ, temporarily bound to the
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reactive intermediate, and are quantitatively recovered by a simple aqueous workup procedure. The well-documented and structurally defined aggregates comprising lithium enolates and lithium amides translate this general concept into practice. Shioiri and Ando were the first to validate this approach in 1987, using valine-derived chiral lithium alkoxy amides, and achieving an enantiomeric excess of 20% in direct ethylation of 2-phenylpropionic acid with iodoethane.

Enediolates, produced by the double deprotonation of carboxylic acids, have been largely overlooked as intermediates in asymmetric synthesis, despite their high nucleophilicity and formal symmetry that eliminates the problem of stereoselective enolization. Because of their double negative charge, enediolates are also expected to form tightly bound discrete mixed aggregates with lithium amide-based stereodirecting auxiliaries.

The enantioselective construction of tetrasubstituted carbon centers sets a high bar for validating this approach. Herein, we describe a protocol that enables such a transformation and includes a facile and quantitative recovery of a tetramine auxiliary in nearly pure form through simple aqueous extraction. Carboxylic acids are used as abundant, inexpensive, and versatile precursors of enediolates. The resulting products contain a carboxy group in free form, readily available for further conversion to amines, alcohols, esters, amides, nitriles, as well as a variety of heterocyclic compounds.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Asymmetric Alkylation Reactions. Our initial studies focused on the alkylation of O-methyl mandelic acid (2-methoxy-2-phenylacetic acid) with allyl bromide. The screening of several chiral amines revealed clean, high-yielding alkylations. The C₃-symmetric tetramines ¹TA and ²TA, shown in Figure 2, are optimal stereodirecting reagents. The temperature and time of enolization are critical parameters influencing enantiocontrol. For example, when (S)-O-methyl mandelic acid (1a), ²TA, and n-butyllithium were maintained at 0 °C for 15 min to form the putative mixed lithium amide-enediolate complex before the addition of allyl bromide, the product was isolated in 78% enantiomeric excess (ee). If mixtures were aged at 0 °C for 2 h before alkylation, the
The product formed with 89% ee. The time-dependent stereo-selectivity correlates with the slow formation of mixed aggregates described below. Similar strong correlations for lithium enolate aging and stereoselectivity have been documented previously.29

With the optimal conditions for aggregate generation identified, a survey (Figure 2a) showed that chiral amines 1TA and 2TA promoted the alkylation of 1 with a variety of reactive alkyl halides in good yields and excellent enantioselectivity. The alkyl halides included iodomethane (2b, 1TA, 97% ee; 2TA, 93% ee), benzylic bromides (2c, 2TA, 94% ee; 2d, 2TA, 95% ee), and others. The alkyl halides were added to the reaction mixture, and the enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined with high-performance liquid chromatography; all results shown have been corrected to bases with the R configuration as shown.

### Figure 3

**Enantioselective construction of tetrasubstituted and quaternary carbon centers via lithium enediolate conjugate addition or aldol reaction with chiral lithium amides as noncovalent stereodirecting auxiliaries.** The reactive aggregate was generated by incubating the carboxylic acid, the tetramine reagent (1:1 molar ratio), and 4.0 equiv of alkyllithium reagent in tetrahydrofuran (THF) at 0 °C for 2 h. Reactions were carried out at −78 °C unless noted otherwise. Enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined with high-performance liquid chromatography; all results shown have been corrected to bases with the R configuration as shown. (a) Unsaturated ester (Michael acceptor) was varied in the enantioselective conjugate addition. Synthesis of 4b was performed on a 4.1 g scale with a 98% recovery of the tetramine reagent (R, 1TA) via simple aqueous extraction. (b) Carboxylic acid was varied in the enantioselective conjugate addition. (c) Preliminary observations for the enantioselective aldol reaction with chiral lithium amides as noncovalent stereodirecting auxiliaries. Isolated yield after methyl ester formation. 1Pr2NLi (2.0 equiv) was used for enediolate formation. dr, diastereomeric ratio; n-BuLi, n-butyllithium. Yields are reported after methyl ester formation (MeSiCHN2, MeOH, PhH).
Figure 4. (a) A drawing of the lithium amide-lithium enediolate aggregate from (R)-2TA and 1a obtained by X-ray crystallographic analysis. (b) Four conformational isomers of structure 7 determined by DFT computations with MP2 corrections. Conformer 6c corresponds to that seen crystallographically. Energies on the equilibrium arrows correspond to the ΔG difference between structures in the forward direction. Energies on the arrows leading to product correspond to the relative energies of methylation with MeCl of each isomer via transition structures requiring no dissociation of a THF ligand from the geminally disolvated enolate lithium. These ΔΔG values are relative to the lowest energy barrier (7a-re) set to zero. The preferences for facial attack are obtained by referencing all to a single ground state (7c) and obtained by summing the relative conformer energies and the relative activation energies. (c) Transition model 8 leads to the formation of the major observed enantiomer by re face electrophile approach with aggregate 7a.

2TA, 84% ee), 1-(trimethylsilyl)-3-bromopropyne (2e, 2TA, 89% ee), and cinnamyl bromide (2f, 2TA, 85% ee). Less reactive haloalkanes such as 1-iodobutane required a slightly elevated temperature of ~40 °C but still afforded good yields and selectivities (2g, 2TA, 89% ee). Remarkably, 3-bromocyclohexene with subsequent hydrogenation provided cyclohexyl-substituted 2h in 91% ee using 2TA.

We surveyed carboxylic acid substrates, choosing methylation with iodomethane emblematically, a transformation of significance because hydrogen-to-methyl substitution is valuable during drug discovery (Figure 2b). Varying the position of the chloro substituent on the phenyl group had a measurable impact on enantioselectivity. Enantioselectivities of 90% and 82% ee were obtained for 4-chlorophenyl- and 3-chlorophenyl-substituted products 3a and 3b, respectively, with 1TA as the chiral lithium amide auxiliary. By contrast, a notably lower 75% ee was observed for (S)-2-(2-chlorophenyl)-2-methoxycarboxylic acid 3c. The ee was enhanced to 84% by switching the chiral reagent to 2TA. The heteroaromatic substrate 2-methoxy-2-(thiophen-2-yl)acetic acid afforded 3d in 80% yield and 94% ee (with 2TA). Importantly, aliphatic 2-methoxy carboxylic acids were also suitable substrates, affording 3e in 83–91% ee and 3f in 85% ee. For these compounds, n-butyllithium had to be replaced with sec-butyllithium to minimize side reactions stemming from the addition of the organolithium reagent to the carboxy group. These are the first highly enantioselective alkylations of aliphatic carboxylic acids. An unexpected reduction in enantioselectivity was observed during the methylation of O-methoxymethylmandelic acid (3g) with 1TA as the stereodirecting reagent. The enantioselectivity could be restored to 88% ee with 2TA. The methoxymethyl (MOM) protecting group was readily removed with HCl in methanol to access the free alcohol in 88% yield.

A significant attribute of this lithium enolate alkylation is illustrated by a direct enantioselective construction of all-carbon quaternary centers in 3h and 3i in 90% ee and 88% ee, respectively, under slightly modified reaction conditions with 1TA as the stereodirecting reagent. Note that, despite the use of the same enantiomer of lithium amide 1TA, the facial selectivity is reversed with 2-phenylpropionic acid relative to alkoxy-substituted substrates, affording enantiomeric products. A broader study of this transformation with dialkylsubstituted acetic acids (R1R2CHCO2H, R1 = R2 = alkyl) was complicated by problematic generation of enediolates with this class of substrate. Competitive formation of variable amounts of n-butyl ketones under several sets of reaction protocols resulted in low and variable yields and enantiomeric excess of products. We anticipate that the development of a clean enediolate generation protocol is a prerequisite for a general enantioselective alkylation of purely aliphatic substrates.

Asymmetric Conjugate Addition. Another key reaction of lithium enolates is conjugate addition to α,β-unsaturated esters, which can afford two or more stereogenic centers. We found that noncovalent lithium amide auxiliaries enable highly enantio- and diastereoselective conjugate additions affording products with adjacent tetrasubstituted and trisubstituted stereogenic carbon centers in good to excellent yields (Figure 3a). The use of tetramine (R)-2TA, acid 1a, and methyl cinnamate afforded adduct 4a in 96% ee as a single diastereomer. Similarly, functionalized products 4b–4d were prepared in 93–97% ee from ethyl (E)-crotonate, methyl (E)-4,4,4-trifluoro-2-butenoate, and tert-butyl (E)-3-cyclopropylcarboxylic acid with tetramine 2TA and 1a. 
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lylate, respectively. Heteroaryl-substituted acrylates such as 3-indoly1, 2-furyl, and 3-pyridinyl acrylates afforded the corresponding products 4e–4g, respectively, in high selectivity (diastereomeric ratio > 30:1, 92–98% ee).

Substituted 2-methoxy-2-arylacetic acids were surveyed (Figure 3b). As in the alklylation reaction, enantioselectivity deteriorated with 2-(2-chlorophenyl)-2-methoxyacetic acid (5c, 78% ee) when compared to 4-chlophenyl (5a, 93% ee) and 3-chlorophenyl (5b, 86% ee) congeners. Once again, high selectivity (91% ee) was restored with (R)-2TA as the stereodirecting reagent. The conjugate addition of 2-methoxy-2-(thiophen-2-yl)acetic acid to methyl (E)-crotonate afforded 5d with high diastereo- and enantioselectivity (dr > 30:1, 97% ee) in 66% yield. More strikingly, a range of aliphatic 2-methoxy carboxylic acids delivered the corresponding adducts with methyl (E)-crotonate in high diastereoselectivity and excellent enantioselectivity under slightly modified conditions. A combination of i-Pr2NLi and (R)-Li2TA afforded good yields of 5e–5g in 89–98% ee with a 7–10:1 dr. Similarly, a reaction of tetrahydropyran-2-carboxylic acid and benzyl crotonate afforded product 5h in 74% yield and 98% ee as a single diastereomer. Addition of the more versatile methoxymethyl derivative (Si) also occurred with only slightly reduced enantio- and diastereoselectivity. Underscoring the simplicity of tetramine auxiliary recycling, (R)-1TA was recovered in 98% yield after a 4 g scale conversion of racemic 1a to 4b (84% yield, dr > 30:1, 94% ee) via acid–base extraction.

Asymmetric Aldol Reaction. A survey of aldol additions, the third important class of enolate reactions examined in this study, revealed that the noncovalent lithium amide auxiliaries induce high selectivities (see Figure 3c). Aldol addition of 1a to pivaldehyde with (R)-2TA as the stereodirecting reagent furnished 6a in 89% ee, 13:1 dr, and 64% yield. Lower enantio- and diastereoselectivity was observed with (R)-1TA (dr = 10:1, 50% ee). Remarkably, the readily enolizable 3-phenylpropionaldehyde proved a suitable substrate and afforded a 3:2 mixture of diastereomers syn-6b and anti-6b in 52% yield and 80% ee with (R)-1TA. [(R)-1TA gave comparable results.] Cyclohexanone afforded the adduct 6c in good yields (68–84%) and enantioselectivities (77–80% ee) with three auxiliaries.

Mechanistic Analysis. The high stereocontrol in the reaction of lithium enediolates derived directly through lithium amide reagents strongly implicates structurally well-defined mixed aggregates as key reactive species,31–33 and we found evidence of such aggregates in the solid state via X-ray diffraction study. Crystals were prepared from a mixture of 1.0 equiv each of racemic 2-methoxy-2-phenylacetic acid and (R)-1TA and 4.0 equiv of n-butyl lithium in tetrahydrofuran at 0 °C (Figure 4a). The resulting aggregate features the incorporation of a doubly deprotonated 2-methoxy-2-phenylacetic acid (1a) fragment, a doubly deprotonated (R)-1TA fragment, four lithium cations, and four THF molecules, arranged into a tightly packed supramolecular assembly. The chiral lithium bisamide from (R)-1TA and similar bases continues to display a remarkable capacity to form mixed aggregates with a range of lithium salts.23

Given the results of previous spectroscopic studies,23 we anticipated that 6Li NMR spectroscopy would reveal a single mixed aggregate displaying a highly characteristic ensemble of four 6Li resonances in a 1:1:1:1 ratio. Instead, we observed two such ensembles in an approximate 3:1 ratio. These ensembles were traced to isomeric species by showing the 3:1 ratio is independent of the absolute concentration of the mixed aggregate as well as the THF concentration (using toluene cosolvent). Variable-temperature NMR spectroscopic studies showed the isomers were in slow exchange, suggesting that they were not simple conformers. We suspected that the two represented a reversal of the orientation of the enolate relative to the dilithiotetramide fragment.

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory34 with single-point MP2 corrections revealed the putative isomers 7a–7d (Figure 4b). The relative energies are shown on the equilibrium arrows. Notable features include (1) the lowest energy form, 7c, corresponds to that found crystallographically; (2) the apparent distortion of the methoxy-derived oxygen from the preferred trigonal geometry35 seen in all four isomers appears to stem from A1,2-strain with the proximate phenyl moiety; (3) although difficult to depict in two dimensions, the uppermost piperidino moiety produces congestion on the upper (β) face of the enolate; (4) in all cases, the preferred approach of the electrophile is from the lower (α) face of the enolate; and (5) the energies predict the 7a–7c structural isomeric pair to be preferred relative to the 7b–7d by approximately 4:1, which would nicely coincide with the 6Li NMR spectroscopy.

The resulting state transition states are also summarized in Figure 4b. The ΔΔG° values above the arrows leading to re and si isomers correspond to the relative activation energies for conversion of each isomer to product. They are relative to the lowest energy pathway (7a-re), which is set to zero. To obtain relative contributions of the isomers to the overall re–si selectivity, one adds the relative reactant energies and relative activation energies. In the event that all isomers are fully equilibrating on the time scales of the alkylation, the dominant pathway funnels through 7a, and the overall re–si selectivity resulting from weighted contributions of all four pathways is predicted to be approximately 60:1. If, however, the structural isomer pairs 7a–7c and 7b–7d are not equilibrating on the time scales of the reaction, a loss in selectivity from minor structural isomer 7b–7d is predicted to reduce the overall selectivity to 4:1. It would appear, therefore, that the computation-driven model predicts re selective attack via transition structure depicted as 8 (Figure 4c). We examined two additional models, which are relegated to the Supporting Information. The first involved dissociation of a THF ligand, and the second involved attack of the methyl chloride from the face opposite the pucker of the enolate lithium (syn to the red methyl moiety, Figure 4b). In both cases, the barriers were found to be higher than those in Figure 4b, and both models predicted the wrong stereochemistry. We hasten to add that this is a model based on a single substrate; substrate-dependent mechanisms and relative stereochemistries are a certainty.

CONCLUSIONS
The results of our study showed that chiral lithium amides are effective noncovalently bound chiral auxiliaries for enantioselective alkylations, conjugate additions, and aldol additions of lithium enediolates derived directly from carboxylic acids. The resulting high enantioselectivities, even in the formation of tetrasubstituted and quaternary stereogenic centers, are notable. The chiral tetramine auxiliary can be recovered in high yield via simple acid–base aqueous extraction. Given the ubiquity of organolithium reagents in organic synthesis and the propensity of tetraines such as 1TA to form discrete and stable aggregates, we anticipate that other such enantioselective transformations are possible.
The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
This work is supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIGMS GM077379 to A.Z., NIGMS GM39764 and GM077167 to D.B.C.). T.A.D.N. and T.W.H. thank the National Science Foundation (CHE 1361654) for financial support. A.Z. and D.B.C. thank Amgen for generous supplies of the tetraamine reagents.

REFERENCES


Supporting Information
The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b11673.

Complete experimental procedures and characterization data (PDF)
Copies of 1H, 13C NMR spectra (PDF)
Copies of HPLC traces (PDF)
X-ray crystallographic data for S-10-THF ( CIF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION
Corresponding Authors
*dbc6@cornell.edu
*zakarian@chem.ucsb.edu

Present Addresses
$Research Center for Molecular Recognition and Synthesis, Department of Chemistry, Fudan University, 220 Handan Lu, Shanghai 200433, People’s Republic of China.
$FLX Bio, 561 Eccles Avenue, South San Francisco, California 94080, United States.
$Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, 2215 Garland Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee 37232, United States.
$Small Molecule Discovery Chemistry, Genentech, Inc., 1 DNA Way, South San Francisco, California 94080, United States.

Notes
The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT
$1 Supporting Information
The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b11673.

Complete experimental procedures and characterization data (PDF)
Copies of 1H, 13C NMR spectra (PDF)
Copies of HPLC traces (PDF)
X-ray crystallographic data for S-10-THF (CIF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION
Corresponding Authors
*dbc6@cornell.edu
*zakarian@chem.ucsb.edu

Present Addresses
$Research Center for Molecular Recognition and Synthesis, Department of Chemistry, Fudan University, 220 Handan Lu, Shanghai 200433, People’s Republic of China.
$FLX Bio, 561 Eccles Avenue, South San Francisco, California 94080, United States.
$Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, 2215 Garland Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee 37232, United States.
$Small Molecule Discovery Chemistry, Genentech, Inc., 1 DNA Way, South San Francisco, California 94080, United States.

Notes
The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
This work is supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIGMS GM077379 to A.Z., NIGMS GM39764 and GM077167 to D.B.C.). T.A.D.N. and T.W.H. thank the National Science Foundation (CHE 1361654) for financial support. A.Z. and D.B.C. thank Amgen for generous supplies of the tetraamine reagents.

REFERENCES

1488.