

Published on Web 03/14/2003

Lithium Hexamethyldisilazide/Triethylamine-Mediated Ketone Enolization: Remarkable Rate Accelerations Stemming from a Dimer-Based Mechanism

Pinjing Zhao and David B. Collum*

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Baker Laboratory, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853-1301

Received October 21, 2002; E-mail: dbc6@cornell.edu•

The long-held belief that strongly coordinating solvents necessarily accelerate organolithium reactions due to intervening deaggregations is not altogether logical.¹ We illustrate the point with a truism: High reaction rates stem from selective stabilization of the rate-limiting transition structures *relative* to the reactants. It follows that reaction rates should be maximized in solvents showing little or no affinity for the reactants and a high affinity for the transition structure(s). It is unclear why strongly coordinating solvents would be so discriminating. Nevertheless, a preoccupation with highly Lewis basic solvents has practical consequences. For example, whereas solvents perceived to be strongly coordinating are prominent in organolithium chemistry,¹ poorly coordinating² trialkylamines have been abandoned by all but a few polymer chemists.3-5 The lithium hexamethyldisilazide (LiHMDS)-mediated enolization illustrated in eq 1 suggests that trialkylamines deserve further evaluation. The rate studies described herein reveal that the acceleration imparted by Et₃N stems from a dimer-based mechanism (Scheme 1). In contrast, the slower enolization in THF appears to derive from a monomer-based mechanism.^{6,7}



The highly solvent-dependent enolization is best understood in the context of the low reactivity of LiHMDS in neat toluene. Treatment of freshly recrystallized LiHMDS⁸ (0.05–0.30 M) in toluene with low concentrations of ketone **1** (0.004 M; IR: 1722 cm⁻¹) affords LiHMDS-ketone complex **3** (1707 cm⁻¹) quantitatively.⁹ Complex **3** was fully characterized using [⁶Li,¹⁵N]LiHMDS and well-established ⁶Li and ¹⁵N NMR spectroscopies.^{10,11} Using in situ IR spectroscopy to follow the loss of **3** and its 2,6,6trideuterated analogue (**3-d**₃) reveals first-order decays and a large kinetic isotope effect ($k_{obsd(H)}/k_{obsd(D)} = 10 \pm 1$ at -40 °C).¹² In conjunction with a first-order dependence on [**3**], a zeroth-order dependence on [LiHMDS] indicates that enolization proceeds via a dimer-based transition structure such as **5** (Scheme 1).^{13,14}

Enolization of ketone 1 by LiHMDS/Et₃N mixtures also proceeds via a dimer-based mechanism. The conversion of complex 3 at low [Et₃N] to 4 at elevated [Et₃N] is accompanied by a characteristic downfield shift¹⁵ of the ⁶Li resonance corresponding to the Et₃Nsolvated ⁶Li nucleus (Figure 1).¹⁶ The ⁶Li spectrum also includes the primary product, mixed dimer 7.^{11,17} IR spectroscopy reveals that the ketone remains complexed to the lithium of 4 even at high [Et₃N]. Monitoring the enolization using IR spectroscopy reveals a first-order loss of 4 and a substantial isotope effect ($k_{obsd(H)}/k_{obsd(D)}$ = 5 ± 1 at -78 °C). A plot of k_{obsd} versus [Et₃N] (Figure



Figure 1. ⁶Li NMR spectrum of [6 Li, 15 N]LiHMDS (0.10 M in pentane) at -120 °C showing complexation by ketone **1-d**₃ (0.2 equiv) and Et₃N (3.0 equiv).



Figure 2. Plot of k_{obsd} versus [Et₃N] in toluene for the enolization of **1-d**₃ (0.004 M) by LiHMDS (0.10 M) at -78 °C. The curve depicts the results of an unweighted least-squares fit to $k_{obsd} = a[Et_3N]/(1 + b[Et_3N])$.

Scheme 1



2) displays saturation kinetics consistent with recalcitrant conversion of **3** to **4**. A zeroth-order dependence on $[Et_3N]$ and [LiHMDS] (at high $[Et_3N]$), in conjunction with the first-order loss of **4**, indicates that dimer **4** reacts via a monosolvated-dimer-based transition structure.



The spectroscopic and rate studies are consistent with dimerbased transition structures, $[{(Me_3Si)_2NLi}_2(1)]^{\ddagger}$ and $[{(Me_3-i)_2NLi}_2(1)]^{\ddagger}$ $Si_2NLi_2(1)(Et_3N)^{\dagger}$; previous computational studies offer structural details depicted in Scheme 1, including evidence of the terminal solvation in 6.14b The large Et₃N-mediated rate acceleration appears to derive from severe steric effects affiliated with solvation in the reactant that are alleviated in the transition structure. The promotion of open dimer-based enolizations by sterically hindered ligands was foreshadowed by semiempirical calculations¹⁴ and is supported by ongoing investigations of other LiHMDS/R₃N combinations.⁷

Enolizations under more synthetically relevant conditions reveal some interesting subtleties. For example, enolization using 1.0 equiv of LiHMDS/Et₃N (1:5) is approximately 80 times slower than enolization under pseudo-first-order conditions. The inhibition observed at the outset of the reaction cannot derive from autoinhibition by mixed dimer 7 – the mixed dimer appears only as the reaction proceeds¹⁸ – but rather from the formation of doubly complexed dimer 8 and the accompanying loss of steric acceleration. Indeed, enolizations using 2.0 equiv of LiHMDS/Et₃N (1:5) conditions affording appreciable concentrations of mono-complexed dimer 4 and mixed dimer 7 (the product of enolization) - are nearly as fast as the enolizations under pseudo-first-order conditions. Although it may seem counterintuitive, organolithium reactions can be inhibited by either the substrate¹⁹ or the product.¹⁸

In conclusion, the marked rate accelerations stemming from poorly coordinating solvents and aggregate-based pathways do not follow conventional wisdom. It may seem odd that a rate acceleration affiliated with a poorly coordinating solvent does not derive from a pathway involving a desolvation step. Nonetheless, these observations are fully consistent with the simple notion that solventdependent rate accelerations, whether by weakly or strongly coordinating ligands, derive from selective stabilization of the transition state *relative* to the ground state. On a practical note, the possible synthetic (and economic) importance of LiHMDS/Et₃N and the more generic RLi/R₃N mixtures in hydrocarbons warrants further investigation.20

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Institutes of Health for direct support of this work, as well as DuPont Pharmaceuticals (Bristol-Myers Squibb), Merck, Pfizer, Aventis, R. W. Johnson, and Schering-Plough for indirect support. P.Z. thanks Boehringer-Ingelheim for direct support in the form of a partial fellowship.

Supporting Information Available: Spectroscopic and rate data (PDF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) Collum, D. B. Acc. Chem. Res. 1992, 25, 448
- Brown, T. L.; Gerteis, R. L.; Rafus, D. A.; Ladd, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. Joon, T. L., Scheis, R. L., Rans, D. A., Ladu, J. A., Chem. Soc.
 Jaod, 86, 2135. Lewis, H. L.; Brown, T. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92,
 4664. Quirk, R. P.; Kester, D. E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 127, 111.
 Young, R. N.; Quirk, R. P.; Fetters, L. J. Adv. Polym. Sci. 1984, 56, 1.
 Eppley, R. L.; Dixon, J. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1968, 11, 174. Quirk, R. P.; Kester, D. E.; Delaney, R. D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1973, 59, 45 Quirk, R. P.; McFay, D. J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed. 1981, 19, 1445. Bernstein, M. P.; Collum, D. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 8008.
- Anionic Polymerization: Principles and Practical Applications; Hsieh, H. L., Quirk, R. P., Eds.; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1996.
 Chalk, A. J.; Hay, A. S. J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 1969, 7,
- 691. Hallden-Aberton, M.; Engelman, C.; Fraenkel, G. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46.538
- (5) Giese, H. H.; Habereder, T.; Knizek, J.; Nöth, H.; Warchold, M. Eur. J. *Inorg, Chem.* **2001**, 1195. Luitjes, H.; de Kanter, F. J. J.; Schakel, M.; Schmitz, R. F.; Klumpp, G. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 4179. (6) Kim, Y.-J.; Streitwiser, A. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 573.
 (7) Collum, D. B.; Zhao, P., unpublished.
- (8) Romesberg, F. E.; Bernstein, M. P.; Gilchrist, J. H.; Harrison, A. T.; Fuller, D. J.; Collum, D. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 3475
- (9) Leading references to observable substrate precomplexation: Pippel, D. J.; Weisenberger, G.A.; Faibish, N. C.; Beak, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 4919. Bertini-Gross, K. M.; Beak, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 315. See also ref 17.
- (10) Lucht, B. L.; Collum, D. B. Acc. Chem. Res. 1999, 32, 1035.
- (10) Euclid, B. L., Collini, D. B. Act. Chem. Res. **1999**, *32*, 1033. (11) ⁶Li NMR spectra (-120 °C, pentane) recorded on a 400 MHz spectrometer operating at 58.84 MHz: (a) **3-d**₃, ⁶Li NMR δ 1.77 (t, $J_{\text{Li}-N} = 4.0$ Hz), 2.10 (t, $J_{\text{Li}-N} = 3.4$ Hz); (b) **4-d**₃, ⁶Li NMR δ 1.12 (t, $J_{\text{Li}-N} = 3.6$ Hz), 2.29 (t, $J_{\text{Li}-N} = 3.1$ Hz); (c) **7-d**₂, ⁶Li NMR δ 0.99 (d, $J_{\text{Li}-N} = 3.6$ Hz); (d) **8-d**₆, ⁶Li NMR δ 2.11 (t, $J_{\text{Li}-N} = 3.2$ Hz).
- (12) The regioselectivity indicated in eq 1 was shown to be >20:1 by trapping
- (12) The registree of the r 114, 2112. (c) Leading references to open dimers of lithium amides: Sun, X.; Collum, D. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 2452.
- (15) Lucht, B. L.; Collum, D. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 2217.
- (16) ⁶Li NMR spectroscopic studies of LiHMDS/Et₃N mixtures in the absence of ketone provide a clear view of analogous saturation behavior (Supporting Information).
- For a crystallographically characterized mixed dimer of LiHMDS and a lithium enolate, see: Williard, P. G.; Hintze, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 8602.
- (18) Sun, X.; Collum, D. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 2459.
- (19) For an example of a substrate-inhibited metalation, see: Hay, D. R.; Song, Z.; Smith, S. G.; Beak, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 8145.
- (20) LiHMDS/Et₃N mixtures were used inadvertently by Fukuzaki et al. when they carried out LiHMDS/toluene-mediated enolizations with a Et₃N/Me₂-SiČl₂ in situ trap. Fukuzaki, T.; Kobayashi, S.; Hibi, T.; Ikuma, Y.; Ishihara, J.; Kanoh, N.; Murai, A. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 2877.

JA021284L